CIC #: 99EPA SUPERFUND VOUCHER FOR TRANSFERS PAGE NO. 001 BILLED DATE 03-JAN-2005 BETWEEN APPROPRIATIONS AND/OR FUNDS ACCOUNTS OF CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER DW96941975 (AR 37-1) (DIAMOND ALKALI (TA RI/FS) BZ694 (EPA 530 D.O. VOUCHER NO. BU VOUCHER NO. BILL NO. PAID BY CHECK NO. COLLECTION VOU. NO. 27027699 PARTIAL # 24 01-DEC-2004 THRU 03-JAN-2005 BILLED OFFICE (MAIL TO): BILLING OFFICE (SEND REMITTANCE TO): U S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY USACE FINANCE CENTER ACCOUNTING OPERATIONS OFFICE KANSAS CITY DISTRICT G5 26 W MARTIN LUTHER KING DRIVE 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE CINCINNATI OH 45268-7002 MILLINGTON TN 38054-5005 ATTN BILLED ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION BILLING ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION 000000 68 20 X 8145.0000 2002 NA 0000 NA 96 NA X 3122.0000 G5 08 2416 848 012975 96231 \$319,026.91 \$319,026.91 LINE ITEM MOA DESCRIPTION CONTRACT - OUTSIDE GOVERNMENT TRANSP OF GOVT EMPLOYEES OR OTHERS, PERDIEM ALLOW IN TVL STATUS & OTH INCIDENTAL TRVL EXP \$1,331.05 CONTRACT - OUTSIDE GOVERNMENT PVT SCTR CONTRACTUAL ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES (AE CONTRACTOR EARNINGS) \$308,986.55 INHOUSE - LABOR DEPARTMENTAL OVERHEAD COSTS \$2,402.46 INHOUSE - LABOR GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD COSTS \$993.34 INHOUSE - LABOR \$4,620.15 REIMBURSABLE - INSIDE CORPS WORK PERFORMED BY OTHER COE EST \$693.36

DUPPNIOTRIBERATION the property of the property and the perfect of the

THE DEMONIST OF THE PROPERTY AND STATES WIND THAT

1

1

1

1

1

PAYMENT DUE DATE 02-FEB-2005

PARTIAL AMOUNT PAID

SUBTOTAL

\$319,026.91

\$.00

\$319,026.91

PAY THIS AMOUNT

PRINCE BY PART FOR CLASSED BY GREAT STRINGS AS INDUSTRIES Zabeth Buckrucker Elizabeth Buckrucker

\$.00

\$.00

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICE BILLED FUNDS AUTHORIZED: \$3,756,000.00 I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE ARTICLES WERE RECEIVED AND ACCEPTED OR THE SERVICES PERFORMED AS STATED AND SHOULD BE CHARGED TO THE APPROPRIATION(S) AND/OR FUND(S) AS INDICATED ABOVE, TOTAL BILLED AMOUNT: \$1,290,069.09 PREVIOUS BILLED AMOUNT: \$971,042.18 OR THAT THE ADVANCE PAYMENT REQUESTED IS APPROVED AND SHOULD BE PAID AS INDICATED. CURRENT BILLED AMOUNT: \$319,026.91 TOTAL FLUX BILLED: \$.00 DATE

DA FORM 4445-R APPROVED BY TREASURY -FOR USE IN LIEU OF SF 1080

PREVIOUS FLUX BILLED:

CURRENT FLUX BILLED:

AUTHORIZED ADMINISTRATIVE OR CERTIFYING OFFICER

SDMS Document

Progress Report for EPA Region II

Site:	Diamond Alkali, O Expansion, New J	•	assaic River Study	Phase:	RI/FS, OU3	
Bill No.:	27027699 Partial #24	IAG No.: NWK	DW96941975 (BZ694) IAG Award Date: 9/24/02	I	G Expiration Date: /31/07	Other Corps N/A
Reporting Period:	From: Dec 1, 2004	To: Jan 3, 2005	EPA RPM Alice Yeh		USACE PM Elizabeth B	

Work Performed Project progress conference call with EPA/MPI; held 7 December 2004. HydroQual and Battelle personnel This Period participate and provide status of their work during this call. Coordinated Corps review of the Draft HASP (both NY and KC district safety office personnel). Received comments and forwarded all to MPI on 8 December 2004. On 13 December 2004, participated via teleconference for the Pre-Draft WP, DQO and FSP document meeting. Discussion included questions concerning language in DQOs; and the tasks and procedures in the WP/FSP. Preparation of the SOW for fieldwork planned in 2005. Incorporation of newly developed WBS task to continue work in several areas including FSP 2 preparation, field investigation mobilization and sampling, model development and calibration and data analysis and interpretation. Preparation of government estimate for this new work. Received MPI cost proposal on 16 December 2004. The proposal is more costly than the budget will allow for 2005 sampling. After receipt of proposal - conversations were held with MPI concerning assumptions made in determination of sample numbers and analysis. Since the WP/FSP 1 is not complete; the proposal was a first attempt at determining these details. It was decided among the team that MPI would revisit the entire proposal and make some adjustments in the sampling assumptions such that it could actually be supported with funding available. The revised proposal is expected in early January. Negotiations are planned for 13 January 2005. Charges by Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) (formerly called WES) personnel for planning and coordination of the anticipated Sedflume fieldwork (these are 1-2 billing cycles behind based on how charges enter the financial system). Preparation of monthly progress report and update of the contract expenditure and projection spreadsheet for IAG -1975. A copy of the spreadsheet for the IAG is attached. Note that the projection line for use of remaining funds indicates that funding is sufficient to cover ongoing tasks until the next contract negotiation and addition of funds; currently planned for early February 2005. Began consolidating the overall cost of work conducted to date and comparing this to original estimates and assumptions prepared by EPA. This comparison will assist EPA in reviewing actual cost vs. planned cost and examining the assumptions made and possibly revisiting those for applicability. Reviewed two MPI payments and approved both for disbursement. One invoice was for November but had been returned for corrections; the corrected version was approved this period. Receipt of EPA IAG Amendment in the amount of \$1,000,000; funds processed and entered into the financial system.

Meetings This Period	Telephone conference call; Progress Meetings – 7 Dec 04; EPA/COE – KC/MPI/HQI/BAT.
	WP/FSP/DQO Meeting, 13 Dec 04; EPA/COE-KC/NY, OMR, MPI, BAT, NJDEP and NOAA

Progress Report for EPA Region II

Key Milestones Completed to Date

- Prior to 1 Jan 03 See IAG DW96941915 for milestones completed.
- 6 & 14 Jan 03 Contract Negotiations with MPI WAD 3.
- 11 Mar 03 Task Order 0011 awarded for \$325,262.00; WAD 3.
- 8 & 30 Oct 03, 12 Nov 03 Contract Negotiations with MPI; WADs 4 & 5.
- 1 Dec 03 Task Order 0011/Modification 2 awarded for \$502,836 (obligated): WADs 4 & 5.
- 12 Dec 03 Contract Negotiations with MPI WAD 6.
- 9 Jan 04 Final Cost Proposal Received/Approved WAD 6
- 2 Feb 04 Task Order 0011/Modification 3 awarded for \$94,236 (obligated); WAD 6
- 29 Apr 04 Task Order 0011/Modification 4 awarded for \$155,206 (obligated); to continue work on WADs 4-6.
- 17 May 04 WVN/ATP approved allowing MPI to shift funds into FSP for fall field sampling effort planning.
- 27 Aug 04 Task Order 0011/Modification 5 awarded for \$1,313,167.00 (obligated) to continue work on WADs 4-6.

Contractor Actions/ Accomplishments

WAD 1 Work:

WAD has been closed and is awaiting final contract modification for closure.

WAD 2 Work:

• WAD has been closed and is awaiting final contract modification for closure.

WAD 3 Work:

During the reporting period minor website maintenance occurred.

WAD 4 Work:

- Participation in telephone conference calls as noted above. Preparation of Progress Report, and invoices.
- On December 9, 2004 the following Community Interviews were held:
 - Tom Fote, Jersey Coast Anglers (represents ~30 fishing clubs and associations in the Newark Bay area).
 - Debbie Mans and Andy Wilner, NY/NJ Baykeeper, and Robin Greenwald, Rutgers University.
 - Jennifer Samson and Cindy Zipf, Clean Ocean Action.
- On December 14, 2004 the following Community Interviews were held:
 - Ella Filippone, Passaic River Coalition. .
 - Kirk Barett, Passaic River Institute, Montclair State University; Montclair, NJ
 - Bob DeVita, Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners; Newark, NJ
 - Steve Weisner, Greater Newark Conservancy (Greening Program); Newark, NJ
 - Bill Sheehan, Hackensack RiverKeeper; Hackensack, NJ
 - Carol Johnston, Ironbound Community Corporation; Newark, NJ
 - Jonathan Jaffe, Jon Jaffe Association.
- On December 15, 2004 the following Community Interviews were held:
 - Brad Sewell, Larry Levine; Natural Resources Defense Council; New York, NY
 - Dennis Suszkowski, Jim Lodge; Hudson River Foundation; New York, NY
- Notes from these interviews will be compiled and submitted to the USACE/USEPA by January 17, 2005.
- On December 15, 2004, MPI submitted a Cost Proposal to USACE/USEPA to request funding for field activities, modeling work, risk assessment work, and associated project management activities for the upcoming year. The scope of the proposed work, the associated sampling and analytical costs, and the impact of samples collected on the remedial investigation and feasibility study are currently under discussion with the USACE and USEPA. The Cost Proposal is being revised accordingly, and a negotiation session for this work will be held January 13-14, 2005.

WAD 5 Work:

- On December 2, 2004 a conference call was held to prepare for the December 13 meeting at EPA regarding the Work Plan and DOOs. Participants were Alice Yeh, USEPA; Bruce Fidler, Lisa Szegedi-Greco, MPI.
- On December 8, 2004 MPI received comments on the Draft Health and Safety Plan: Core Document and Addenda from the USACE-KC and USACE-NY. Beth Buckrucker indicated that no comments were anticipated from the USEPA. The comments provided by the USACE were addressed during the remainder of this reporting period, and the Final Health and Safety Plan: Core Document will be submitted to the USACE and USEPA during the next reporting period. Note that this document will go from Draft to Final (i.e., no Draft Final), and the first Addendum (Hydrodynamics Studies) will be submitted as a Final document as well; additional addenda will be submitted as they are developed for field-specific activities.
- On December 13, 2004 a meeting was held at the USEPA Region 2 offices in New York, NY to discuss the Pre-Draft WP, DQOs, and FSP. Participants included: Alice Yeh, Elizabeth Butler, Marian Olsen, Charles Nace, William Sy, Amelia Jackson, USEPA; Beth Buckrucker (via telephone), Scott Nicholson, Darin Damiani, USACE; Lisa Baron, NJDOT-OMR; Ed Demarest, Janine MacGregor, NJDEP; Reyhan Mehran, NOAA; Bruce Fidler, Lisa Szegedi-Greco, Len Warner, MPI; Don Gunster, Battelle.

Topics of discussion are listed below:

- DQOs: Focus on Fundamental Questions and Field Investigation Questions;
- WP: Focus on Chapter 5.0, Field Investigation Tasks;
- FSP: Standard Operating Procedures;
- 3-D mapping of subsurface sediment data: a preview was given by Battelle, based on the work produced earlier in the reporting period resulting from MPI's and Battelle's collaboration.
- On December 14 and 15, 2004 the following field sample collection activities occurred at this site:
 - Moorings containing hydrodynamic field sampling equipment were pulled from three locations in the upper 11 miles of the tidal Passaic River. Maintenance activities to the instruments were conducted (i.e., change of batteries and cleaning). Data was downloaded from the instruments and subsequently uploaded to PREmis. Following these maintenance and data recovery procedures, the moorings were placed back in their original locations.
- On December 16, 2004 a brainstonning session was held at TAMS/Earth Tech's Bloomfield, NJ office to flesh out the best ideas and finalize a detailed integrated approach for the dredging and monitoring activities based on site specific facts, data, and information (old and new). Attendees included: Alice Yeh, USEPA; Lisa Baron, NJDOT-OMR; Tim Wilson, USGS; Bob Chant, Rutgers University; Donald Hayes, University of Utah; Michael Palermo, Mike Palermo Consulting; Maheyar Bilimoria, Ryan Edison, Serkan Mahmutoglu, John Szeligowski, Celeste Foster, Juliana Atmadja, TAMS; Ed Garvey, Scott Thompson, MPI. Discussion focused on whether to conduct dredge monitoring via continuous reading stations or via collecting individual readings from a boat. Setting up a modeling grid to collect continuous readings may end up with points outside the plume, while collecting individual readings from a boat does not allow for collection of concurrent readings. A combination of these approaches may be used for this study. A second meeting will be held at Rutgers University (New Brunswick, NJ) on January 28, 2005 to discuss an approach to conduct dredge monitoring.
- On December 21, 2004 a consensus meeting on the draft Pathways Analysis Report (PAR) was held at the USEPA Region 2 offices in New York, NY. Participants included: Alice Yeh, Elizabeth Butler, Marian Olsen, Christopher Stitt, USEPA; Reyhan Mehran, NOAA; Lisa Baron, NJDOT-OMR; Rich Califano, MPI; Tom Gulbransen, Don Gunster, Pamela Rodgers, Battelle.

Discussion centered on the review of "Resolved Comments;" as summarized below:

- Human Health Risk Comments:
 - Fish Consumption:
 - Receptor Groups:
 - Sediment Ingestion Rate/Exposure Time
 - Cumulative Exposure Duration
 - Chemical Specific Issues
- Ecological Risk Assessment Comments:
 - Receptors of Concern
 - Use of Freshwater Criteria
 - Measurement Endpoint for Fish
 - VOCs for Ecological Risk Assessment
- Other Comments/Next Steps

- The next steps on the PAR are to incorporate information from the meeting into a revised PAR. Battelle submitted a Cost Estimate for conducting Additional Pathways Analyses; this element was included in the Cost Proposal submitted on December 15, 2004 for additional project work to be completed in 2005.
- During the reporting period, work continues in compiling tables for the following: Reporting Levels (RLs) and Action Levels (ALs) for analytical methods by parameter; Quality Control (QC) samples. Additional review will be required to reflect modeling and engineering requirements in the RL Table. These tables are expected to be completed during the next reporting period.

Battelle

- Work continued on creating the 3-D mapping for the next phase of the historical data evaluation. This work included compiling data sets for all 16 COCs or COC groups, plus grain size (% fines) and TOC.
- On December 3, 2004 Battelle prepared a draft table identifying COCs, Method Detection Limits, and risk-based Action Levels. Throughout the reporting period, Battelle and MPI continued discussions regarding these tables, adding more matrices and revising analyte quantitation limits in the table.
- On December 8, 2004 Battelle submitted a preliminary cost estimate to MPI for additional preliminary risk analyses (WAD 5, WO 2.2), as well as for 2005 project support and documentation and project communications subtasks.

HydroQual

During the reporting period, HydroQual reviewed and contributed comments to elements of the Work Plan: reviewed
plans for USGS gauge stations scope of work, atmospheric deposition, and approaches to data evaluation.

WAD 6 Work:

- On December 7, 2004, a PREmis security audit was completed. Alice Yeh, USEPA, provided input regarding the
 addition/deletion of team members, as well as reassignments of current project team members to different roles..
- During the reporting period work continues on creating the field application that will be utilized for the project field
 activities. This included discussions between IT and sampling personnel as well as a review of existing wireless
 technology currently available in the study area.
- Routine maintenance (updating news items, etc.) occurred on ourpassaic.org.

Projected Wor	k, M	etings Milestones through next FY.	
Narrative		 Work Plans, Field Sampling Plans and associated documents are in various stages of completion. This field planning will continue in 2005 with sampling planned to begin in July 2005. 	
		 Continued updating and refinement of the integrated CERCLA/WRDA schedule and coordination of each agencies activities within the PM team to ensure coordinated team progress. 	
	-	The agency managers are continuing to work on options for a location where long term river access may be established to support future fieldwork.	
Meetings		Continuation of Bi-weekly Progress calls.	
		 Continuation of Passaic PM and PDT Meetings with appropriate parties. 	,
		• Consensus Meetings for Modeling Plan (once planned for October 2004) is scheduled for 4 February 2005.	ļ
Key Milestones		Award of next contract modification in early February 2005.	
Forthcoming		Mobilization and fieldwork start planned for July 2005.	

Progress	Report for	EPA R	egion II
ration in the second second			

Issues	
Technical:	 Long term river access for 2005 fieldwork and beyond – effort to secure location still underway. WES Sedflume study will require rescheduling for spring of 2005. A policy discussion and decision is needed to determine whether analytical RLs must be below baseline contaminant levels.
Schedule:	 The schedule overall length is an issue of concern and there is interest in steps that can be taken to reduce the overall length of the study. The COE-NY bathymetric survey data provided to MPI in December 2004 was determined to be preliminary. The final report and data will be required in order to complete the historical data evaluation – which causes a delay in the original plans to continue with the HDE during this reporting period.
Funding:	 Future funding needs for continued work into the next calendar year are being determined. Additional funds from EPA received this reporting period. MPI has encountered several actions that have required additional funds (river access, additional management coordination among WRDA/CERCLA team, hydrodynamic field effort coordination/logistics); funds will be reallocated to cover these actions in the next contract modification. The communication budget is also expected to exceed initial projects and will likely be increase to account for the extra meetings. These will be addressed in the upcoming negotiations and next contract modification.

	IAG Summary												
LICACE	Amendment Funding USACE Direct Fund Cite Amend # Date USACE Direct Fund Cite Total IAG												
\	Direct rund Cite			USACE	Direct Fund Cite								
1,000,000	0	Initial	9/24/02	-	-	\$1,000,000							
50,000	0	1	9/11/03	-	-	\$ 1,050,000							
156,000	0	2	4/15/04	-	-	\$ 1,206,000							
1,500,000	0	3	8/11/04	-	·	\$ 2,706,000							
50,000	0	4	9/17/04	-		\$2,756,000							
1,000,000	0	5	12/21/04	-	•	\$3,756,000							

Note: Technical Assistance IAG; Procurement total = \$875,000; Personnel = \$175,000

Amendment 2 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$1,081,000; Personnel \$125,000.

Amendment 3 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$2,381,500; Personnel = \$274,500 Amendment 4 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$2,431,500; Personnel = \$324,500.

Amendment 5 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$3,331,500; Personnel = \$424,500

PM-ES

Expenditures: USACE & CONTRACT

Summary of Funds Spent Per Activity & Funds Remaining												
Description	Funds For Activity	Funds Disbursed This Month	Funds Disbursed To Date	Funds Remaining								
Contract – Task Order 0011	\$ 325,262.00	\$ 0	\$ 325,262.00	\$ 0								
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 2 Note 1	\$ 502,836.00	\$ 7,231.91	\$ 502,836.00	\$ 0								
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 3	\$ 94,236.00	\$ 94,236.00	\$ 94,236.00	\$ 0								
Contract – Task Order 001 1/Mod 4	\$ 155,206.00	\$ 155,206.00	\$ 155,206.00	\$ 0								
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 5	\$1,313,167.00	\$ 52,312.64	\$ 52,312.64	\$1,260,854.36								
Contract Dollars Available	\$ 940,793.00	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 940,793.00								
Kansas City Corps In-House Labor/Travel	\$ 424,500.00	\$ 10,040.36	\$ 160,216.45	\$ 264,283.55								
Totals	\$ 3,756,000.00	\$ 319,026.01	\$ 1,290,069.09	\$ 2,465,930.91								

Note 1: Task Order 0011/Mod 1 was administrative in nature; date extension and small change in fee/work structure of dollars (total dollars did not change).

Contract Obligations/Deobligations Plan (FY03/FY04/FY05 estimates)

Contract	Planned Obligation Date		Actual Obligation	Date	Notes
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$300,000	1/31/03	\$325,262.00	3/11/03	New task order awarded; Task Order 0011 (WAD 3).
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$1,000,000	12/1/03	\$502,836.00	12/1/03	Total negotiated amount is \$1,187,911.00 of which \$502,836.00 was obligated (WADs 4 & 5).
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$500,000	1/2/04	\$94,236.00	2/2/04	Total negotiated amount is \$559,793 of which \$94,236 was obligated (WAD 6)
DACW41-02-D-0003		4/29/04	\$155,206.00	4/15/04	Incremental funding to continue work on WADs 4-6 as previously negotiated.
DACW41-02-D-0003	1,200,000	7/30/04	\$1,313,167.00	8/27/04	Task Order modification for 2004 fieldwork and FSP and associated document preparation.
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$900,000	2/15/05			Funding to finalize fieldwork documents and planning/preparations.
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$1,500,000	4/30/05			Estimate of potential fieldwork sampling cost for spring/summer sampling (may obligate incrementally).
	Total Funds Obligated Task (Order 0011	\$2,390,707.00		

IAG Scope of Work Summary (block 13 of IAG)

Base IAG: This amendment obligates \$1,000,000 to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Kansas City District for Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study at the Diamond Alkali OU3 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

IAG Amendment #1 and #4 Scope of Work Summary (block 13 of IAG)

This amendment obligates Urban Rivers Restoration Initiatives funds in the amount of \$50,000 to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Kansas City District for community relations activities in support of Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study at the Diamond Alkali, OU3 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

IAG Amendment #2 and #3 Scope of Work (block 13 of IAG)

Progress Report for EPA Region II

This amendment obligates an additional \$156,000 (#2) 1,500,000 (#3) of Special Account Funds to the Engineers – Kansas City District to perform activities in support of RI/FS at the Lower Passaic River portion of the Diamond Alkali, OU2 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

Project Delivery Team

Name	Contact Information	Responsibility
Beth Buckrucker	(816) 983-3581 Cell: (816) 695-5797	Project Manager
Trudy Shannon	(816) 983-3822	Contract Specialist
Robert Nunn	(816) 983-3837	Contracting Officer
Ed Bristow	(816) 983-3583	COR

Elizabeth Buckrucker

Project Manager 816-983-3581 Date: January 27, 2004

LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT DW96941975; BZ694 USACE/Contract Expenditures and Projected Expenditures

						F	Y04									
Bill Number==>	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
ltem	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Totals
Travel Expenses	183.91	726.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,571.50	0.00	1,326.55	679.30	378.30		1,349.55	1,320.20		1,331.05	14,966.33
Other: Reproduction Services/MIPRs for Labor	0.00	1,000.00	387.50	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					415.23	693.36	1,537.50
Departmental Overhead	1,470.43	1,494.22	1,273.34	1,698.21	2,095.78	2,471.74	2,075.73	1,458.03	935.80	934.63	18.30	671.09	2,660.98	2,503.45	2,402.46	37,636.71
General/Administrative Overhead	951.15	1,014.86	677.32	1,074.24	1,114.81	1,314.81	1,126.30	840.02	524.90	497.15	9.73	356.98	1,126.41	1,035.08	993.34	20,175.85
Labor	3,840.98	4,059.29	2,709.23	4,296.87	4,459.13	5,259.06	4,505.08	3,359.97	2,099.53	1,988.57	38.93	1,427.85	5,162.51	4,814.32	4,620.15	83,460.42
USACE Labor; monthly	6,446.47	8,294.98	5,047.39	7,219.32	7,669.72	10,617.11	7,707.11	6,984.57	4,239.53	3,798.65	66.96	3,805.47	10,270.10	8,768.08	10,040.36	
Cumulative USACE Labor:	65,687.10	73,982.08	79,029.47	86,248.79	93,918.51	104,535.62	112,242.73	119,227.30	123,466.83	127,265.48	127,332.44	131,137.91	141,408.01	150,176.09	160,216.45	157,776.81
IAG Funding Received/Personnel:		(50,000.00)									149,500.00				100,000.00	374,500.00
IAG Funding Received/Contract:		50,000.00					156,000.00				1,350,500.00	50,000.00			900,000.00	3,381,500.00
Contracts Awarded			502,836.00		94,236.00	0.00	155,206.00				1,313,167.00					2,390,707.00
Contract Disbursements; monthly (Invoiced/Paid)	17,101.11	0.00	26,003.17	41,654.28	0.00	155,264.33	107,278.14	61,573.74	114,071.34	55,527.86	55,943.21	23,532.48	110,683.72	0.00	308,986.55	1,129,852.64
Cumulative Contract Disbusements	69,333.82	69,333.82	95,336.99	136,991.27	136,991.27	292,255.60	399,533.74	461,107.48	575,178.82	630,706.68	686,649.89	710,182.37	820,866.09	820,866.09	1,129,852.64	
Cumulative Invoiced; labor and contract	135,020.92	143,315.90	174,366.46	223,240.06	230,909.78	396,791.22	511,776.47	580,334.78	698,645.65	757,972.16	813,982.33	841,320.28	962,274.10	971,042.18	1,290,069.09	
Remaining IAG \$\$	659,050.90	650,755.92	142,872.53	135,653.21	33,747.49	23,130.38	16,217.27	9,232.70	4,993.17	1,194.52	187,960.56	234,155.09	223,884.99	215,116.91	1,205,076.55	

